

MINUTES FOR THE WEEKLY MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION TEAM (CPT) ON THURSDAY, JUNE 12, 2008 AT 4 PM IN BUILDING A CONFERENCE ROOM.

PARTICIPANTS:

<u>Staff</u>	<u>CPT Members</u>	<u>Absent CPT Members</u>
Luke Vinciguerra	Bill Spaller	Tom Fitzpatrick
Jason Purse	Tony Obadahl	Marilyn Taylor
Christy Parrish	Vaughn Poller	Joe Sells
Tammy Rosario	Reese Peck	Richard Krapf
Leanne Reidenbach	Bob Keith	Jack Haldeman
Melissa Brown	Susan Sullivan Tubach	
Brian Elmore		
Krista Hailey		

- Mr. Spaller motioned to approve the June 5th minutes.
- Mr. Keith seconded the motion to approve minutes.
- The June 5th minutes were approved unanimously.
- Mr. Vinciguerra stated major statistics would not be drawn from the “dots” projects due to various discrepancies. Mr. Vinciguerra stated various landmarks were not included on the “dots” county map. Mr. Vinciguerra stated industrial uses and commercial uses were recommended for medium growth in this analysis, while residential uses were recommended as slow growth by citizens. Mr. Vinciguerra stated the words “fast,” “medium,” and “slow” were not further defined.
- Mr. Keith asked in what context were people asked about the map.
- Mr. Vinciguerra stated people were asked “where would you like to see growth.”
- Mr. Peck stated citizens had a huge range of opinions on using the County map during the Community Conversations.
- Mr. Spaller stated the charts indicate very few people want fast growth.
- Mr. Poller stated many citizens had a difficult time with geography on an unmarked map.
- Mr. Rosario asked if Community Conversation meetings varied on the amount of desired growth.
- Mr. Spaller stated the maps were a good exercise in giving citizens an idea of where residential and industrial corridors are located. Mr. Spaller stated the map exercise needed to include a set of definitions.
- Mr. Poller stated the map was a great tool but did not yield the desired results.
- Mr. Keith asked staff if the map was appropriate for the second round of Community Conversations.

- Mr. Vinciguerra stated a consulting group was reviewing the data yielded from the Community Conversations.
- Mr. Rosario stated the consulting group could help refine the map exercise for the second round.
- Mr. Obadal asked if citizens were familiar with the current Land Use map.
- Mr. Vinciguerra stated most people were not aware of the map.
- Mr. Peck stated questions for citizens needed to be more specific in the future. Mr. Peck stated mixed use developments do not fit neatly on the map.
- Mr. Keith asked if the maps would be saved for the second round.
- Mr. Poller asked if staff had any further input on the maps.
- Ms. Rosario stated staff could add a few qualifiers to the map in the second round.
- Mr. Peck stated that the responses to the maps show a concern for the current growth rate.
- Ms. Sullivan-Tubach stated the map complimented the written comments in regards to speed of growth.
- Mr. Keith asked if there were any glaring differences between the written comments and the map.
- Ms. Reidenbach stated she did not see any significant differences.
- Mr. Peck motioned to validate the map data.
- Mr. Spaller seconded the motion to validate the map data.
- Validating the map data was unanimously approved.
- Ms. Parrish discussed how the Steering Committee received comments.
- Ms. Rosario stated public comments would be received and reviewed contemporaneously to the Steering Committee process.
- Ms. Parrish stated staff did have raw data. Ms. Parrish stated all the raw data needed to go to the Steering Committee.
- Mr. Poller opened the public hearing.
- Mr. Poller closed the public hearing.
- Ms. Parrish stated volunteers are still needed for the booth at the County fair.
- Ms. Reidenbach stated if the booth was better staffed the team could more effectively educate the public on the Comprehensive Plan.
- Ms. Parrish asked how CPT members would like to see the booth equipped. Ms. Parrish stated the booth could have a listening station, Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Maps. Ms. Parrish stated staff would try to develop a game or booth attraction.
- Ms. Sullivan-Tubach stated a coloring activity for kids would draw in parents.
- Ms. Parrish began discussing group forum applications. Ms. Parrish stated she had received seven applications, and that some groups had signed up more than once.
- Mr. Spaller asked if the group forums would be mentioned in the Gazette.

- Ms. Reidenbach stated a press release had been written, and that a notice was placed in the CPT meeting public advertisement.
- Ms. Parrish stated it was the CPT's decision to allow groups to take more than one of the "limited" group forum slots.
- Ms. Sullivan-Tubach stated groups could make more than one topic presentation in their 15 minute talking slot. Ms. Sullivan-Tubach stated in addition to the presentation groups get to submit written reports.
- Mr. Keith asked if a third group forum meeting could be held.
- Mr. Spaller stated forum sessions should be expanded. Mr. Spaller stated the breadth of topics should be as wide as possible.
- Mr. Poller stated meeting times should take into account staff time needed. Mr. Poller stated adding a third meeting would be close to the second round.
- Ms. Parrish stated staff would rather coordinate two longer sessions rather than three meetings. Ms. Parrish asked if the CPT wished to extend both existing meeting times by 15 minutes. Mr. Parrish stated the dates of the second round would be discussed the following week.
- Mr. Spaller asked if the second round was going to be recorded. Ms. Rosario stated group dynamics tended to limit discussion, and that booklets allowed people to answer questions at their own pace.
- Ms. Brown stated having a group setting may lend to the appearance of discussion being rushed.
- Mr. Peck stated all groups should have an opportunity to speak before any groups get a second opportunity to present.
- Mr. Parrish asked the CPT to clarify if groups would only get a second presentation after all groups had an opportunity to speak.
- Mr. Poller stated the current group forum schedule should be published.
- Ms. Brown asked if the CPT wanted to see how many more applications were received in the next week before changing the schedule.
- Ms. Sullivan-Tubach asked if there was a group forum deadline.
- Ms. Brown stated there was no deadline.
- Mr. Keith stated the CPT was making an extra effort to get citizen groups involved. Mr. Keith stated groups should have an extra week to get their applications in before any further action is taken.
- Ms. Reidenbach stated the invitation to the group forum states each group gets 15 minutes.
- Mr. Keith asked if individual citizens can make a presentation at the group forums.
- Ms. Sullivan-Tubach stated citizens had several other avenues to share their opinions.
- Ms. Reidenbach stated the invitations were sent to "organizations".
- Mr. Poller asked about videotaping the group forums.

- Ms. Reidenbach stated it would depend on availability of Communications staff. Ms. Reidenbach stated she would follow up with Communications staff.
- Mr. Peck began a discussion on the County's water plan.
- Ms. Rosario stated water has always been an issue.
- Mr. Poller stated utilities were a huge part of the growth picture.
- Mr. Keith asked if a citizens' group could submit a presentation on water.
- Mr. Peck stated due to his own schedule changes this would probably be his last CPT meeting. Mr. Peck stated he was looking for an appropriate proxy for his position.
- Mr. Poller stated Mr. Foster of JCSA may be willing to come speak to the CPT.
- Mr. Peck stated a regional water planner may be willing to speak to the public.
- Ms. Rosario stated regional water plans should draw a parallel to the County's water plan.
- Mr. Poller asked for any public comment.
- Mr. Keith motioned for adjournment.
- Ms. Rosario seconded the motion.
- The meeting was adjourned at 5:30pm.

Vaughn Poller, Chairperson